

# Lecture 11

①

## 1. A computation

Recall:  $\text{Diag} = N \times N$  diagonal matrices, real entries  $\cong \mathbb{R}^N$

$\text{Herm}' = N \times N$  Hermitian matrices, vanishing entries in diagonal  $\cong \mathbb{R}^{N^2 - N}$

Computation claimed last class: For  $t = \text{diag}(e^{it_1}, \dots, e^{it_N})$

define

$$L: \text{Herm}' \rightarrow \text{Herm}', \quad H \mapsto t H t^{-1} - H$$

Then

$$|\det(L)| = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |e^{it_j} - e^{it_k}|^2$$

Proof: Identify  $\text{Herm}' \cong \mathbb{R}^{N^2-N}$

②

$\det(L) = \prod(\text{e-values})$ , but have to place  $\mathbb{R}^{N^2-N}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{N^2-N}$  to diagonalize

$$(\text{Herm})' + i(\text{Herm})' = (\text{Herm})' + (\text{skew-Herm})' = \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{all complex} \\ \text{matrices with} \\ \text{vanishing diagonal} \end{array} \right\} (X)$$

a complex vector space  
dim  $N^2-N$

$E_{jk} = 1$  in  $j^{\text{th}}$  column,  $k^{\text{th}}$  row, 0 otherwise;  $j \neq k$

$$L(E_{jk}) = t \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{multiplies row}}}{E_{jk}} t^{-1} \underset{\substack{\leftarrow \\ \text{multiplies column}}}{E_{jk}} - E_{jk} = (e^{itz_k} e^{-itj} - 1) E_{jk}$$

$$\det(L) = \prod_{j \neq k} \left( \frac{e^{itz_k}}{e^{-itj}} - 1 \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow |\det(L)| = \prod_{j < k} |e^{it_j} - e^{it_k}|^2$$

(3)

## 2. The Weyl integration formula

Thm (Weyl): For  $g \in \mathcal{U}(N)$  Haar and  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N)$  the e-values (in uniformly random order)

$$\mathbb{E} f(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N) = \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^N} f(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N}) p_N(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_N$$

with  $p_N(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N) = \frac{1}{N! (2\pi)^N \prod_{j < k} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^2}$

for any continuous  $f: (S^1)^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Proof: Let  $t^0 = \text{diag}(e^{it_1^0}, \dots, e^{it_N^0})$ , and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Compute  $\mathbb{P}(\|g - t^0\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \varepsilon)$  via two methods for  $g \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ .

④

Method 1:

$$\|g - t^0\| = \|\underbrace{(t^0)^{-1}g}_{\text{Haar dist.}} - I\|$$

dist. does not depend on  $t^0$

So

$$P(\|g - t_0\| \leq \varepsilon) = P(\|g - I\| \leq \varepsilon)$$

$$\sim c_1 \varepsilon^{N^2}$$

for a constant  $c_1$  depending on  $N$ ,  
but not  $t^0$ .

(5)

Method 2 :  $\|g - t^0\| \leq \varepsilon$   
 $\Rightarrow g = h t h^{-1}$  for  $\underbrace{\begin{matrix} t = t^0 e^{iD}, D \in \text{Diag}, D = O(\varepsilon) \\ h = e^{iH}, H \in \text{Herm}, H = O(\varepsilon) \end{matrix}}_{\text{uniquely determined}}$

Claim I: As  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , if  $\mathcal{U} \subset \text{Diag}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  is inside  $\varepsilon$ -ball of 0

$$P(D \in \mathcal{U}) = (c_2 + o(1)) \rho_N(t^0) \int_{\mathcal{U}} dx_1 \dots dx_N$$

↖ Lesbesgue meas. weighted by e-value density

Why?  $t$  has the same dist as e-values of  $g$ ,  
 so for  $t \approx t^0$ , dist. of  $D$  must be asymptotically  
 proportional to  $\rho_N(t^0) \cdot (\text{Lesbesgue measure})$ .



Claim II: As  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , if  $V \subset \text{Herm}'$ , ⑥  
 $V$  inside  $\varepsilon$ -ball of 0,

$$\mathbb{P}(H \in V) = (C_3 + o(1)) \int_V dy_1 \dots dy_{N^2-N}$$

← Lebesgue meas., no dependence on  $t^0$ .

Moreover, dist of  $D$  and  $H$  are independent.

(To be justified soon.)

Then for  $\|g - t^0\| \leq \varepsilon$ ,

(7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 g - t^0 &= e^{iH} t^0 e^{iD} e^{-iH} - t^0 \\
 &= i t^0 (D + (t^0)^{-1} H(t^0) - H) + O(\varepsilon^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

↖ calc. last class.

so

$$P(\|g - t^0\| \leq \varepsilon) = P(\|D + (t^0)^{-1} H(t^0) - H\| \leq \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2))$$

$$\sim C_4 \left( \rho_N(t^0) \cdot \varepsilon^N \right) \left( \frac{1}{|\det(L)|} \varepsilon^{N^2 - N} \right)$$

↖ claim I,  
 $N$  dim Lebesgue,  
 weighted by density

↖ claim II,  
 $N^2 - N$  dim  
 Lebesgue, pass. through  $L$

$$= C_4 \frac{\rho_N(t^0)}{|\det(L)|} \cdot \varepsilon^{N^2}$$

Methods 1+2  $\Rightarrow \rho_N(t^0) = (\text{constant}) \cdot |\det(L)|$  depends on  $N$  ⑧

$\Rightarrow$  Weyl, with  $\frac{1}{N! (2\pi)^N}$  not yet determined  
 $\curvearrowright$  To be determined in HW by  $\int \rho_N = 1$  □

Why claim II? Note if  $g$  has  $e$ -values  $t$ , conjugating matrix determined up to right mult. by diagonal matrix:

$$g = (e^{iH} r) t (e^{iH} r)^{-1} \quad \text{with } r \text{ diagonal, entries freely chosen on unit circle}$$

Hence  $t \sim e$ -values,  $e^{iH} r \sim$  Haar on  $U(N)$ , independent

Another Jacobian comp. reveals  $e^{iH} r \sim$  Haar  $\Leftrightarrow$   $H$  locally looks Lebesgue, independent  
 $r$  has un. form entries on  $(S^1)^N$