

Lecture 14

(1)

1. Foundations of point processes

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$, or an interval $[a, b]$

\mathcal{C} = "space of locally finite configurations"

$:= \{ \{x_i\} : \#_K(x) = \#\{x_i \in K\} < \infty \text{ for all compact } K \}$

\uparrow finite or countable collection of elements of Λ

$C_n^B := \{x \in \mathcal{C} : \#_B(x) = n\}$,

\mathcal{F} the σ -alg. on \mathcal{C} generated by C_n^B ,
for $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$, B a
Borel subset of Λ

Def: A point process ξ is a random element
of $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$.

Note: Any point process \mathcal{Z} induces a probability measure (the "distribution of \mathcal{Z} ") on $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ via $IP(\mathcal{Z} \in F)$ for $F \in \mathcal{F}$, and conversely, any prob. measure on $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ defines a random element. (Analogy to random variables on \mathbb{R} .) ②

Ex: Poisson process on $[0, 1]$ of intensity λ .
Intuition: calls into an hour long telephone, with call at time t a point at $t \in [0, 1]$, with calls independent, and $\lambda = \#$ calls expected.



One construction: Calls occur in succession, ③
 after each call, time τ to
 next call is independent r.v.
 with $IP(\tau > s) = e^{-\lambda s}$.

Another construction: Point process ξ with

$$P(C_{n_1}^{B_1} \cap C_{n_2}^{B_2} \cap \dots \cap C_{n_k}^{B_k}) = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(\lambda |B_j|)^{n_j}}{n_j!} e^{-\lambda |B_j|}$$

for $B_1, \dots, B_k \subset [0, 1]$ disjoint. ↑
Poisson densities

Does this define a prob. measure on $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{F})$? ④

First test: additivity example - if $A = B_1 \cup B_2$
with $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$

$$P(\#_A = n) \stackrel{P}{=} P(\#_{B_1} = n \cap \#_{B_2} = 0) + P(\#_{B_1} = n-1 \cap \#_{B_2} = 1) \\ + \dots + P(\#_{B_1} = 0 \cap \#_{B_2} = n)$$

This is

$$\frac{1}{n!} \left(\lambda (|B_1| + |B_2|) \right)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{(n-j)!} (\lambda |B_1|)^{n-j} e^{-\lambda |B_1|} \cdot \frac{1}{j!} (\lambda |B_2|)^j e^{-\lambda |B_2|}$$

True by binomial thm!

Fact 1 from probability: Given quantities (5)

$$IP(C_{n_1}^{B_1} \cap \dots \cap C_{n_k}^{B_k}) \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{for all } k \geq 1)$$

that satisfy finite additivity and

$$\sum_{n_k=0}^{\infty} IP(C_{n_1}^{B_1} \cap \dots \cap C_{n_k}^{B_k}) = IP(C_{n_1}^{B_1} \cap \dots \cap C_{n_{k-1}}^{B_{k-1}})$$

there exists a unique point process \mathcal{J}

with these probabilities.

(Follows via Kolmogorov's consistency thm.)

Fact 2 from probability: If ξ and ξ' are (6)

two point processes and if for all $k \geq 1$

and all collections of open intervals

$I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k \subset \Lambda$ the joint distributions

$(\#_{I_1}(\xi), \#_{I_2}(\xi), \dots, \#_{I_k}(\xi))$ and $(\#_{I_1}(\xi'), \dots, \#_{I_k}(\xi'))$

agree, then ξ and ξ' have the same dist.

(Follows from above fact 1, or more simply)

Fact 3 from probability : For ξ and ξ' ⑦

let $\eta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and compactly supported function, and

$$\sum_i \eta(\xi_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i \eta(\xi'_i)$$

If for all such η these rv have the same dist., then ξ and ξ' have the same dist.

Idea of proof: Can pass from such ⑧

$\eta(x)$ to $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \mathbb{1}_{I_j}(x)$

Fact 3 \Leftrightarrow For all scalars α_j

$$\sum_i \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \mathbb{1}_{I_j}(\xi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \#_{I_j}(\xi)$$

has same dist as for ξ'

Cramer-Wold

\Leftrightarrow $(\#_{I_1}(\xi), \dots, \#_{I_k}(\xi))$ has same joint dist as $(\#_{I_1}(\xi'), \dots, \#_{I_k}(\xi'))$

Fact 2