

Lecture 19

①

1. Kernels and determinantal point processes

$\Lambda = [\alpha, \beta]$. Recall $K(x, y) \in L^2(\Lambda \times \Lambda)$ defines bounded linear op. $\mathbb{K} : L^2(\Lambda) \rightarrow L^2(\Lambda)$ by

$$(\mathbb{K}f)(x) = \int_{\Lambda} K(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

Def: If \mathbb{K} is

- Hermitian ($K(x, y) = \overline{K(y, x)}$)
- Non-negative definite ($\det_{k \times k} (K(x_i, x_j)) \geq 0$
 $\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \Lambda$)
- Of finite rank ($\text{Im } \mathbb{K} \subset L^2(\Lambda)$ is finite dim.)

then we say \mathbb{K} (or K) is a finite admissible kernel. (FA for short)

Fact from linear algebra I: If \mathbb{K} is FA (2)

(of rank N), then

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{\ell=1}^N \lambda_{\ell} \varphi_{\ell}(x) \overline{\varphi_{\ell}(y)}$$

for $\{\varphi_{\ell}\}$ orthonormal in $L^2(\Lambda)$ and $\lambda_{\ell} \geq 0 \forall \ell$

(spectral thm applied to \mathbb{K})

Fact from linear algebra II: If \mathbb{K} is FA

and $D \subset \Lambda$ and \mathbb{K}_D is the restriction of \mathbb{K} to D (i.e. the operator $\mathbb{K}_D: L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$ defined by

$$(K_D f)(x) = \int_D K(x, y) f(y) dy$$

← if π_D is the projection onto functions supported on D ,

$$\mathbb{K}_D = \pi_D \mathbb{K} \pi_D$$

then \mathbb{K}_D is also FA.

(Finite rank is only nontrivial part: note $\text{rank}(\pi_D \mathbb{K} \pi_D) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbb{K}).$)

Def: A point process ξ is simple if ③
 for any single point $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the event $\#\{a\}(\xi) \geq 2$
 does not occur.

Def: A point process ξ is said
 to be determinantal with FA kernel K
 if its k -th joint intensities are

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_k \\ \text{distinct}}} \eta(\xi_{j_1}, \dots, \xi_{j_k}) = \int_{\Lambda^k} \eta(x) \cdot \det_{k \times k} (K(x_i, x_j)) dx$$

for FA kernel K . (Det. point process = DPP)

2. Some facts about DPP with FA kernels (4)

Prop: If ξ is a DPP with FA kernel, of rank N , then $\#_{\Lambda}(\xi) \leq N$ almost surely.

Proof: For an interval D ,

$$\#_D(\#_D - 1) \cdots (\#_D - (k-1)) = \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_k \\ \text{distinct}}} \mathbb{1}_D(\xi_{j_1}) \cdot \mathbb{1}_D(\xi_{j_2}) \cdots \mathbb{1}_D(\xi_{j_k})$$

(for general point processes)

so

$$\mathbb{E} \#_{\Lambda}(\#_{\Lambda} - 1) \cdots (\#_{\Lambda} - (k-1)) = \int_{\Lambda^k} \det_{k \times k} (K(x_i, x_j)) d^k x \quad (*)$$

But

$$\left(K(x_i, x_j) \right)_{i,j=1}^k = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \varphi_1(x_1) & \lambda_2 \varphi_2(x_1) & \dots & \lambda_N \varphi_N(x_1) \\ \lambda_1 \varphi_1(x_2) & \lambda_2 \varphi_2(x_2) & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1 \varphi_1(x_k) & \dots & \dots & \lambda_N \varphi_N(x_k) \end{pmatrix}}_{A_\lambda(x)} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(x_1) & \dots & \varphi_1(x_k) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_N(x_1) & \dots & \varphi_N(x_k) \end{pmatrix}}_{B(x)}$$

$A_\lambda(x) \xleftarrow{\leq \text{rank}(N)} \xrightarrow{\nearrow} B(x)$

so

$$\det_{k \times k} \left(K(x_i, x_j) \right) = 0 \quad \text{for } k > N,$$

and

$$(*) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = N+1$$

$$\Rightarrow \#_\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, \text{ or } N \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \square$$

(5)

Cor: If ξ and ξ' are DPP with FA (6)

Kernel with joint intensities that coincide,

then ξ and ξ' have the same distribution

(i.e. $\mathbb{P}(C_{n_1}^{B_1} \cap C_{n_2}^{B_2} \cap \dots \cap C_{n_k}^{B_k})$ is the same

for both ξ and ξ').

Proof: Discussed joint intensities
 \Rightarrow distribution

if $\#_D$ has exponential tails,

But $\#_D$ is bounded.

"B"

3. Projection processors are building blocks ⑦

Thm: Let ξ be a DPP with FA kernel

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{\ell=1}^N \lambda_{\ell} \varphi_{\ell}(x) \overline{\varphi_{\ell}(y)} \quad \text{with } \lambda_{\ell} \in [0, 1] \quad \forall \ell$$

Let I_1, \dots, I_N be Bernoulli rv with $P(I_{\ell} = 1) = \lambda_{\ell}$. Form a point process ξ' by first sampling I_1, \dots, I_N , and then sampling from the projection process with kernel

$$K_{\mathbf{I}}(x, y) = \sum_{\ell=1}^N I_{\ell} \varphi_{\ell}(x) \overline{\varphi_{\ell}(y)}.$$

ξ and ξ' have the same dist.

(proof next time)